

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/627,866	07/28/2003	Yutaka Yamada	030910	3634
23850	7590 02/23/2005		EXAMINER	
ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP			BERMAN, SUSAN W	
1725 K STREI SUITE 1000	EI, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20006		1711	
			DATE MAILED: 02/23/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			' -			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/627,866	YAMADA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Susan W Berman	1711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may ply within the statutory minimum of t d will apply and will expire SIX (6) M tte, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered time ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u></u>					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
						Disposition of Claims
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examir 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac		o by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	,	•	, ,			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority documer 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documer 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this Nationa	I Stage			
Attachmont/c)						
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	v Summary (PTO-413)				
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date				
3) All Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/03: 1/04.	3) 5)	f Informal Patent Application (PT 	O-152)			

Specification

The Specification is objected to because there are no headings, such as "Background of the Invention", "Summary of the Invention", etc.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The phrase "contains (meth)acrylate which dissolves the polymeric dispersant" does not clearly set forth that the "(meth)acrylate" required to dissolve the dispersant is a (meth)acrylate compound that is the "photopolymerizable compound" recited in claim 1(see [0029]). It is suggested that claim 4 be rewritten to depend from claim 3 or to recite "wherein the photopolymerizable compound comprises a (meth)acrylate compound which dissolves the polymeric dispersant". It is suggested that claim 5be rewritten to depend from claim 4 or to recite "wherein the photopolymerizable compound comprises 2-hydroxy-3-phenoxypropyl acrylate which dissolves the polymeric dispersant".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 02/46323 in view of Emmons et al (6,080,802). WO '323 discloses UV curable ink jet inks comprising reactive monomers and oligomers, a pigment, and a photoinitiator. The pigment can be titanium dioxide coated with

functional groups (page 4, last paragraph). The dispersants are selected from dispersants with acidic groups, dispersants with amine groups, anionic, cationic and nonionic polymers and polyacrylates containing pigment affinic groups (page 5 and the bridging paragraph from page 7-8). Compositions comprising urethane oligomers and di- or tri-acrylate monomers are taught on page 6. WO '323 does not specifically mention that the titanium dioxide is surface treated with silica and alumina and that the weight of silica is larger than that of the alumina, as set forth in instant claim 1.

Emmons et al disclose a process for preparing an aqueous dispersion of composite particles including a polymeric latex adsorbed to titanium dioxide to give a low viscosity slurry or pigment grind. The titianium dioxide particles can be pretreated to provide inorganic surface coatings of silica, aluminum oxide or mixtures of silica and aluminum oxide to adjust the surface potential of the particles (column 32, lines 20-38). Emmons et al do not teach compositions comprising photopolymerizable monomers and a photoinitiator.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to employ titanium oxide particles pretreated with a mixture of silica and aluminum oxide disclosed by Emmons et al as the titanium dioxide coated with functional groups in the compositions taught by WO '323. WO '323 provides motivation by teaching that titanium dioxide coated with functional groups is a suitable pigment in the disclosed ink compositions. Emmons et al provide motivation by teaching that titanium dioxide particles can be pretreated to provide inorganic surface coatings of silica, aluminum oxide or mixtures of silica and aluminum oxide to adjust the surface potential of the particles. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated by a reasonable expectation of providing pigment particles having a useful surface potential for ink jet printing. It would further have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to select a dispersant with amine groups from the small group of suitable dispersants taught by WO '323. WO '323 provides motivation by teaching that any of dispersants with acidic groups, dispersants with amine groups, dispersants comprising anionic,

cationic and nonionic polymers and polyacrylates containing pigment affinic groups, such as pigment affinic amine groups, are suitable preferred dispersants for providing good dispersions of the pigment particles in the disclosed ink compositions. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated by a reasonable expectation of taking advantage of the amine functionality in the dispersant to neutralize the acidic nature of the silica treated titanium dioxide. With respect to claims 3-8, WO '323 teaches a mixture of oligomers and monomers including trifunctional urethane oligomers, such as tris(2-hydroxyethyl)isocyanurate triacrylate, and other (meth)acrylate monomers as reactive diluents for the disclosed compositions (page 6).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

EP 11,036,831 discloses UV curable ink compositions comprising anatase titanium dioxide,, a urethane oligomer, a tri- or higher functional monomer, a photoinitiator and an aqueous solvent.

Ohta et al (5,954,866) disclose an ink composition for ink jet recording comprising a pigment, an anionic surfactant having a polyoxyethylene group, a dispersant and water. The numerous pigments disclosed include titanium dioxide. Dispersants disclosed include polyvinyl alcohols, polyvinylpyrolidones, amine salts of the disclosed copolymers and others having a basic functional group (column 5, line 45, to column 6, line 51). Ohta et al do not teach adding photopolymerizable compounds or a photoinitiator.

Nishizaki et al (5,034,508) disclose a dispersant for a nonaqueous system, such as a printing ink, for dispersing insoluble fine powders in nonaqueous liquids that gives long term stability to the dispersion. The dispersant is a polyether derived from a polyamine or from a polyalkylenamine compound. The fine powders disclosed include titanium dioxide, aluminum hydroxide, and silica pigments (column 6, lines 18-21, and Table 2). Nishizaki et al do not teach adding photopolymerizable monomers or a photoinitiator.

Kato et al (6,080,449) disclose an oil-based ink jet ink comprising resin particles dispersed in a nonaqueous carrier liquid and having excellent redispersibility, storage stability and press life (column 20, lines 49-67). The resin particle dispersion is added to a colorant dispersion in a polyacrylic resin (see column 37, lines 3-15, column 38, line 59, to column 39, line 4).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan W Berman whose telephone number is 571 272 1067. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 571 272 1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application
Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained
from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available
through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic
Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Susan W Berman Primary Examiner Art Unit 1711

SB February 18, 2005